

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/500,108	02/08/2000	Kevin L. Fox	GCSD-1054 (51045)	2137		
75	7590 01/13/2006			EXAMINER		
Richard K Warther Allen Dyer Doppelt Milbrath & Gilchrist PA			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH			
	venue - Suite 1401	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P O Box 3791		2131				
Orlando, FL 3	32802-3791	DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/500,1	108	FOX ET AL.		
		Examine	∍r	Art Unit		
		Kaveh At	brishamkar	2131		
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this commur ply	ication appears on th	ie cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr for reply is specified above, the maximum st ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en munication. latutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MON polication to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this (ANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	consive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . The this application is in condition and in accordance with the praction	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. It for formal matte	•	e merits is	
Disposition of	f Claims					
4a) C 5)	n(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the approximate of the above claim(s) is/are n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict appers specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are continuous appers.	re withdrawn from continuous ction and/or election of the Examiner. : a) □ accepted or b	requirement.))□ objected to b	•		
	acement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).	
	oath or declaration is objected to	•		·		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
 Notice of Dr Information 	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date <u>11/3/2005</u> .		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT 	⁻ O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/500,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 3, 2005 has been entered.

2. Claims 1-36 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim limitation "exporting the system object model database of the network to the separate, non-integrated network vulnerability/risk analysis programs" is repeated two times.

Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2131

5. Applicant's arguments, see pages Arguments pages 12-16, with respect to currently amended claims, 1-36 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The closest prior art, Ronnen (U.S. Patent No. 5,699,403), does not disclose using exporting the system object model database to the "separate, non-integrated network vulnerability/risk analysis program, wherein each analysis program is operative to run as a separate program and produce an initial data source separate from any data sources produced by respective other programs." The CPA teaches "risk computation modules" (column 7 lines 19-22), which calculate risk data. However, these modules are integrated and do not run as separate programs producing results independent of the other programs. Therefore, the prior art of record does not teach the subject matter of the pending claims. However, the claims are still subject to rejections under the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,883,101 as described below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422

Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-36 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,883,101. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims 1-36 of the instant application are envisioned by claims 1-27 in that claims 1-27 of the patent contains all the limitations present in claims 1-36 of the instant application. Claims 1-36 of the instant application therefore are not patentably distinct from the earlier patent claim and as such are unpatentable for obvious-type double patenting.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 01/03/2006 Promary Examines
AV 2131